

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,914	09/20/2002	Ame Stavland	2002-0417A	2771
513 75	90 11/20/2006		EXAMINER	
	H, LIND & PONACK, I	TUCKER, PHILIP C		
2033 K STREE' SUITE 800	T N. W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/088,914	STAVLAND ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip C. Tucker	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	ptember 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-57 and 59-68</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>50-57 and 59-68</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastia a mandamant				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,914

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/18/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 50-57, 59-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Le et al (6169058).

Application/Control Number: 10/088,914 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

Le teaches a water in oil emulsion comprising an aqueous gellant emulsified in oil, wherein the level proportions of polymer, water and oil within the scope of the present invention (see column 6, lines 16-19 and Example 1). The emulsion is used in subterranean formations (column 1, lines 6-19). A crosslinker is included in the aqueous phase, which can be a trivalent metal ion (column 14, lines 52-55). The purpose of the composition of Le is to reduce water permeability (see abstract). Such would break within the same time frame as in claim 67. Applicant's utility of the term "consisting essentially of" cannot distinguish, since the same object of reducing water permeability more than oil permeability is achieved.

3. Claims 50-57 and 61-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McDonald (4282928).

McDonald teaches a composition which comprises a water-swellable polymer, which can be a polymer within the scope of claim 54 (see column 3, line 59- column 4, line 17). The composition may be used to selectively inhibit water permeability (see for example column 8, lines 1-7 and column 7, lines 46-50). The composition may be used as a water-in-oil emulsion (column 7, lines 30-37 and column 5, lines 14-16). The amount of polymer and crosslinker used are within the scope of the present invention (see column 6, lines 48-55 and column 4, lines 53-58). The present invention is thus anticipated by McDonald.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,914

Art Unit: 1712

Applicants amendment distinguishes over the other prior art rejections, which do not teach a cross-linking agent in the gelant. With respect to Dawson, further review shows that the emulsion therein is added to a water based fluid prior to injection. With respect to Le, the whole concept of the invention is to reduce water permeability (see abstract and whole document), when the fracture is formed. Fractures are used to increase oil production, with the fluid of Le used to control the production of water, from these new oil producing areas. It is immaterial whether a fracturing process is performed, since the water permeability is reduced more than the oil permeability, thus satisfying the requirements of the claims. Applicant's utility of the term "consisting essentially of" cannot distinguish, since the same object of reducing water permeability more than oil permeability is achieved.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C. Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,914 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-4074